

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS



This presentation may contain "forward-looking statements" with the meaning of Canadian securities legislation. These statements can be identified by the use of words such as "expected", "may", "will" or similar terms.

Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of factors and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by Kootenay as of the date of such statements, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies. Many factors, known and unknown, could cause actual results to be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. Except as otherwise required by law, Kootenay expressly disclaims any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any such statements to reflect any change in Kootenay's expectations or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

Cautionary Note to US Investors: This presentation may contain information about adjacent properties on which we have no right to explore or mine. We advise U.S. investors that the SEC's mining guidelines strictly prohibit information of this type in documents filed with the SEC. U.S. investors are cautioned that mineral deposits on adjacent properties are not indicative of mineral deposits on our properties. This presentation may contain forward-looking statements including but not limited to comments regarding the timing and content of upcoming work programs, geological interpretations, receipt of property titles, potential mineral recovery processes, etc. Forward-looking statements address future events and therefore involve inherent risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those currently anticipated in such statements.

QUALIFIED PERSON STATEMENT

The Kootenay technical information in this presentation has been prepared in accordance with the Canadian regulatory requirements set out in National Instrument 43-101 (Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects) and reviewed and approved on behalf Kootenay by James McDonald, P.Geo, President, CEO & Director for Kootenay, a Qualified Person.

CAUTION TO U.S. INVESTORS CONCERNING MEASURED, INDICATED or INFERRED RESOURCES

This presentation includes Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources classification terms that comply with reporting standards in Canada and the Mineral Reserves and the Mineral Resources estimates are made in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101"). NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators that establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. These standards differ significantly from the requirements adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). The SEC sets rules that are applicable to domestic United States reporting companies. Consequently, Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources information included in this presentation is not comparable to similar information that would generally be disclosed by domestic U.S. reporting companies subject to the reporting and disclosure requirements of the SEC. Accordingly, information concerning mineral deposits set forth herein may not be comparable with information made public by companies that report in accordance with U.S. standards.

INVESTING IN KOOTENAY SILVER



Kootenay Silver Inc. has a leading growth profile highlighted by one of the largest junior owned silver asset bases in Mexico.

REASONS TO BUY


- SIGNIFICANT LEVERAGE TO SILVER PRICE
- MAIDEN RESOURCE ON COLUMBA ADDS 54 MILLION OUNCES.
- EXPLOSIVE GROWTH POTENTIAL AT COLUMBA WITH LARGE FINANCED DRILL PROGRAM
- POTENTIAL FOR VALUE RE-RATING

Quality Silver Assets Are Scarce... We Have Several

BOARD & MANAGEMENT TEAM



"FROM DISCOVERY TO PRODUCTION"



James McDonald, PGeo President, CEO & Director (FormerlyAlamos Gold)

Dale Brittliffe, BSc, P.GeoVP Exploration
(Formerly Silver Viper)

Dr. Tom Richards, BSc, Ph.D.Advisor (Formerly Mansfield, Geo. Survey of Canada)

Ken Berry, Chairman (Former President & CEO of Northern Vertex Mining)

Tiziano Romagnoli Advisor(Formerly BMO Nesbitt Burns in Geneva)

Raj Kang, CPA, CMA Chief Financial Officer (Formerly CFO Salares)

Jon Morda, Director (Formerly CFO Alamos)

Tony Reda, Director CEO of Tectonic Metals (Formerly Kaminak Gold)

Joe Giuffre, JD, Director (Formerly Chief Legal Officer for Nevsun)

Hans Smit, P. Geo Advisor (Formerly Orla Mining & Grayd Resources)

Jeff Sundar, Capital Markets Advisor

(Dir - Northern Empire Resources sold \$117m & Underworld Resources acquired for \$138m)

CAPITAL STRUCTURE



| Exchange (Tier 1) | TSX.V: KTN; USOTC: KOOYF |
|--|---|
| Share Price ⁽¹⁾ | C\$1.17 |
| Issued & Outstanding ⁽¹⁾ | 81,567,243 |
| Options, RSU & DSU | 3,750,000 |
| Warrants ⁽¹⁾ | 27,683,739 |
| Current Market Cap(1) | ~C\$95.4M |
| KTN (shares 52-week High/Low) | C\$1.54 / C\$0.84 |
| Average Daily Volume ⁽¹⁾ | 545,499 (average daily volume - 90 day) |
| Cash & Cash Eqv. Position ⁽²⁾ | ~C\$2.3M (as at March 31, 2025) |

⁽¹⁾ As of close of trading July 4, 2025

Key Shareholders

Eric Sprott (~4.5%)

Condire (~5%)

Management & Directors (~3%)

Institutions (~35%)

Former Investment from Majors⁽³⁾

Coeur Mining

Agnico Eagle

Pan American Silver

TSX.V: KTN I OTC: KOOYF I CORPORATE PRESENTATION I AUGUST 2025

⁽²⁾ Excludes public offering closed June 27, 2025 for gross proceeds of \$20M (net proceeds ~\$18.6M)

⁽³⁾ Former shareholders who invested based on different discoveries at Promontorio, La Negra and La Cigarra

KOOTENAY SILVER ASSETS



✓ NEW HIGH GRADE DRILL DISCOVERIES.

- Columba Silver Property
- 54.1 Million ounces Ag in newly released 2025 Inferred Mineral Resource Estimate*
 - 43-101 filed Augus 1, 2025

✓ RESOURCE PROPERTIES.

- 214.2 Million ounces Ag equivalent (AgEq) M+I & 109 Million ounces AgEq Inferred*
- Hosted on Promontorio-La Negra & La Cigarra Properties And Now Columba
 - Maiden La Negra Resource released October 2023
 - Updated La Cigarra Resource released January 2024


✓ SUCCESSFUL GENERATIVE PORTFOLIO

- Early-stage drilling at Cervantes Gold-Copper Property
 - Sold interest to Aztec Minerals for 10M Shares and 0.5% NSR

^{*} Full Resource Tables for Columba found on slides 17-19, La Cigarra and Promontorio can be found on slide 32 and 38 and La Negra on slide 39 in the Appendix to this presentation. Numbers differ from previous presentations as they incorporate recovery factors for the silver equivalent calculations. Silver Equivalency is based on metals recoveries outlined on slide 33 and 38. with calculation details on slide 35 & 36

LOCATION OF PRIMARY SILVER ASSETS





MILESTONES & CATALYSTS



Recent Milestones

- ✓ 22 May 2025 Update on Upcoming Mineral Resource Estimate
- ✓ **17 Jun 2025** Announcement of 2025 Mineral Resource Estimate
- ✓ 17 Jun 2025 \$12 Million Bought Deal Public Offering Announced
- ✓ 27 Jun 2025 Closing of \$20 Million Bought Deal Public Offering
- ✓ 21 Jul 2025 Drilling at Columba recommences for next 50,000m
- ✓ 1 Aug 2025 Columba NI 43-101 Technical Report for MRE completed

2025 Catalysts

Columba

- Start of staged 50,000m drill program late July
- Expanded Prospecting and Mapping program
- Drilling focused on step out drilling to expand resource size
- Continuous news flow through year end



Primary Catalyst for 2025 Columba Drill Program

COLUMBA HIGH GRADE SILVER PROJECT



HIGHLIGHTS

- 54.1 Moz of silver, 25.2 Mlbs of lead, and 65.6 Mlbs of zinc
- 5.92 Mt grading 284 gpt silver, 0.19% lead, and 0.50% zinc
- All mineralized veins remain wide open to expansion along strike, to depth or both.
- Vein continuity is excellent
- 5 to 6 meters Vein width averaged across all zones
- Silver grades are excellent across the mineralized structures
- Silver Price \$26
- Cutoff 150 grade gpt silver and 90% recovery

WORK PLANNED FOR BALANCE OF 2025

- Recommence Drilling next stage of multi-phase
 50,000m drill program
 - First 20,000 to 30,000 m designed on step out drilling at D, F and B Vein corridors



Detailed results for all drill holes drilled to date can be viewed by clicking the following link: COLUMBA DRILL RESULTS

COLUMBA HIGH GRADE SILVER PROJECT




HIGHLIGHTS

- High-grade vein system with no exploration in ~40 years
- Past producing silver mine (~1900-1910; 1958-1960)
- Multiple high-grade targets identified by drilling
- 17.8 meters of 650 gpt silver; 6 meters of 2035 gpt silver; 34.45 meters of 540 gpt silver etc.

EXPLORATION WORK COMPLETED


- 2019 2025- 53,000 meters drilled in 211 holes
- F vein returns consistent silver across 700 meters of length and 200 meters of depth
- D Vein consistent silver across 1275 meters of length and deepest hit at 540 meters depth (in CDH-24-196B)
- Multiple veins with high grade and multi meter widths



COLUMBA PROJECT


Building a District-Scale Silver Camp in Chihuahua, Mexico






Columba Footprint Compares Well to Epithermal Vein Systems in Mexico







(source Ausenco Engineering Canada "NI 43-101 Technical Report and Feasibility Study on the Las Chispas Project", Effective date January 4, 2021) 1km

Caution: This comparison is conceptual in nature and there is insufficient exploration to define the resource at this date. This indicates geologic potential only which needs extensive drilling to test. There is no guarantee of success and there may or may not be a resource defined.

COLUMBA MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE



Columba Project Underground Mineral Resource Estimate, May 29, 2025

| Cut-off Grade Mass | | Average Value | | Material Content | | | |
|--------------------|--------|---------------|--------|------------------|--------|------|------|
| | Ag | Pb | Zn | Ag | Pb | Zn | |
| | Mt g/t | | % | % | koz | Mlb | Mlb |
| | | | INFERF | RED | | | |
| 150 g/t Ag | 5.92 | 284 | 0.19 | 0.50 | 54,072 | 25.2 | 65.6 |

⁽¹⁾ MRE Notes and Assumptions listed on Slide 3

Columba Sensitivity Table, May 29, 2025

| Vein Mass | | | Average Value | | Mat | erial Content | |
|---------------|----------|-----|---------------|------|--------|---------------|------|
| veiii | IVIASS | Ag | Pb | Zn | Ag | Pb | Zn |
| Cut-off Grade | Mt | g/t | % | % | koz | Mlb | Mlb |
| | INFERRED | | | | | | |
| 100 g/t Ag | 8.09 | 242 | 0.17 | 0.45 | 62,985 | 30.0 | 79.6 |
| 120 g/t Ag | 7.43 | 254 | 0.18 | 0.46 | 60,638 | 28.7 | 75.9 |
| 150 g/t Ag | 5.92 | 284 | 0.19 | 0.50 | 54,072 | 25.2 | 65.6 |
| 200 g/t Ag | 3.90 | 343 | 0.23 | 0.60 | 43,042 | 19.7 | 51.9 |
| 250 g/t Ag | 2.79 | 391 | 0.26 | 0.68 | 34,991 | 16.0 | 41.7 |
| 300 g/t Ag | 1.98 | 439 | 0.30 | 0.78 | 27,903 | 13.1 | 33.9 |

⁽¹⁾ Underground mineral resources are reported at a base case cut-off grade of 150 g/t Ag. Values in this table reported above and below the base case cut-off grades should not be misconstrued with a Mineral Resource Statement. The values are only presented to show the sensitivity of the block model estimate to the base case cut-off grade.

⁽²⁾ All values are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate and numbers may not add due to rounding.

COLUMBA MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE – BY VEIN



Columba Project Underground Mineral Resource Estimate by Vein, May 29, 2025

| • | _ | | * | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-----|---------------|------|--------|------------------|------|--|--|--|
| | Mass | | Average Value | | M | laterial Content | | | | |
| Vein | IVIASS | Ag | Pb | Zn | Ag | Pb | Zn | | | |
| | Mt | g/t | % | % | koz | Mlb | Mlb | | | |
| INFERRED | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | 3.29 | 293 | 0.22 | 0.60 | 30,964 | 15.8 | 43.7 | | | |
| DHW | 0.08 | 310 | 0.65 | 0.89 | 789 | 1.1 | 1.6 | | | |
| DFW | 0.03 | 250 | 0.23 | 0.61 | 235 | 0.2 | 0.4 | | | |
| F | 0.79 | 273 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 6,936 | 2.8 | 8.0 | | | |
| FHW | 0.11 | 215 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 790 | 0.2 | 0.4 | | | |
| FHW2 | 0.05 | 310 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 517 | 0.2 | 0.4 | | | |
| FHW3 | 0.03 | 265 | 0.12 | 0.29 | 280 | 0.1 | 0.2 | | | |
| FFW | 0.02 | 206 | 0.04 | 0.14 | 146 | 0.0 | 0.1 | | | |
| FFW2 | 0.00 | 160 | 0.20 | 1.23 | 23 | 0.0 | 0.1 | | | |
| S | 0.05 | 260 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 407 | 0.2 | 0.5 | | | |
| Lupe | 0.35 | 307 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 3,488 | 0.7 | 2.1 | | | |
| В2 | 0.31 | 262 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 2,593 | 1.0 | 2.1 | | | |
| HG | 0.34 | 337 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 3,640 | 1.4 | 1.7 | | | |
| J | 0.11 | 214 | 0.09 | 0.46 | 723 | 0.2 | 1.1 | | | |
| z | 0.01 | 165 | 0.06 | 0.53 | 46 | 0.0 | 0.1 | | | |
| 1 | 0.31 | 225 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 2,264 | 1.4 | 2.7 | | | |
| E | 0.04 | 189 | 0.17 | 0.62 | 229 | 0.1 | 0.5 | | | |
| Total | 5.92 | 284 | 0.19 | 0.50 | 54,072 | 25.2 | 65.6 | | | |


COLUMBA MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE NOTES



- (1) The mineral resource was estimated by Ben Eggers, MAIG, P.Geo. of SGS Geological Services, an independent Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101. Eggers conducted a site visit to the Columba Property on May 28, 2025. The mineral resource was peer reviewed by Allan Armitage, Ph.D., P.Geo. of SGS Geological Services, an independent Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101. Armitage conducted a site visit to the Columba Property on May 24-25, 2024.
- (2) The classification of the Mineral Resource Estimate into Inferred mineral resources is consistent with current 2014 CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves. The effective date of the Columba Property Mineral Resource Estimate (MRE) is May 29, 2025. This is the close out date for the final mineral resource drilling database.
- (3) All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate and numbers may not add due to rounding.
- (4) All mineral resources are presented undiluted and in situ, constrained by continuous 3D wireframe models (considered mineable shapes), and are considered to have reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction. The mineral resource is exclusive of mined out material.
- (5) Mineral resources are not mineral reserves. Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability. An Inferred Mineral Resource has a lower level of confidence than that applying to an Indicated or Measured Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that the majority of Inferred Mineral Resources could be upgraded to Indicated or Measured Mineral Resources with continued exploration.
- (6) The Columba mineral resource estimate is based on a validated drillhole database which includes data from 217 surface diamond drill holes completed between 2019 and March 2025. The drilling totals 53,476 m. The resource database totals 28,448 assay intervals representing 45,805 m of data.
- (7) The mineral resource estimate is based on 17 three-dimensional ("3D") resource models representing epithermal veins which comprise the Columba vein system. 3D models of mined out areas were used to exclude mined out material from the current MRE.
- (8) Grades for Ag, Pb, and Zn are estimated for each mineralization domain using 1.5 m capped composites assigned to that domain. To generate grade within the blocks, the inverse distance squared (ID²) interpolation method was used for all domains.
- (9) Average density values were assigned to each domain based on a database of 4,049 samples.
- (10) It is envisioned that the Columba Project deposits may be mined using underground mining methods. Mineral resources are reported at a base case cut-off grade of 150 g/t AgEq. The mineral resource grade blocks were quantified above the base case cut-off grade, below surface and within the constraining mineralized wireframes.
- (11) The underground base case cut-off grade of 150 g/t Ag considers a metal price of US\$26.00/oz Ag and metal recovery of 90% for Ag.
- (12) The underground base case cut-off grade of 150 g/t Ag considers a mining cost of US\$60.00/t rock and a processing, treatment and refining, transportation and G&A cost of US\$45.00/t mineralized material.
- (13) The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.

COLUMBA PROPOSED 2025 PRIORITY TARGETS






Columba Resource Domains (red) – Isometric view looking N, Lith vein models (white)

- Follow up drilling planned on all mineralized structures
- Next phase to extend and expand upon mineralization included in new 43-101 resource
- Highest Priority Targets include extensions of defined mineralized veins,
 all require additional extension and depth testing
- High and medium priority sections of known mineral bearing structures to be drilled systematically on 100m centers
- Lower Priority structures to receive initial drill testing. Aim to upgrade targets for more intensive drilling
- Follow up phase expected to total 50,000 meters; 40,000 meters in systematic step out and down plunge tests to increase defined mineralized structures, and 10,000m for initial testing of new, undrilled targets
- Primary aim to upgrade lower priority structures to higher priority status

COLUMBA PROPOSED 2025 PRIORITY TARGETS





What is Next?



- ➤ Recommence resource exploration drilling some 50,000 meters of extension drilling is planned on all key mineralized structures
- First 20,000 to 30,000 meters expansion drilling on D, F and B veins
- ➤ Continued prospecting and geological mapping new structures are identified using LiDAR and confirmed with ground truthing and rock chip sampling.
- ➤ Exploration drilling 10,000 meters planned to provide initial tests on highly prospective yet undrilled veins

COLUMBA VEIN HIGHLIGHTS

- Classic Mexican epithermal vein system comprising multiple veins over an area 3 km x 4 km
- 53,000 meters drilled to date in 211 holes
- Current MRE of 5.92Mt of 284 gpt Silver, 0.19% Pb and 0.50% Zn for 54.1 Moz Silver, 25 Mlbs Pb and 65.6 Mlbs Zinc
- Multi-stage 50,000-meter drilling program planned to test new mineralized zones and extend high priority veins


True widths estimated at between 35% and 85% of the downhole lengths.



KOOTENAY 22.0 m of 229 gpt Ag 44m of 333 gpt Ag incl. 5.0m of 608 gpt Ag incl. 6m of 2,035 gpt Ag Rock Chip 387 gpt Ag 1.6m of 459 gpt Ag incl. 0.5m of 1,190 gpt Ag 9.0m of 691 gpt Ag incl 4.6m of 1,186 gpt Ag 7.45m of 650 gpt Ag incl. 1.0 m of 953 gpt Ag 9.0m of 354 gpt Ag 13.05m of 434 gpt Ag incl. 2.6m of 809 gpt Ag incl 2 m of 1,050 gpt Ag 34.45m of 540 gpt Ag incl 2.45m of 5,840 gpt Ag 3rd Partv Concession Rock Chip 121 gpt Ag 98.7m of 211 gpt Ag 29.9m of 453 gpt Ag incl. 17.8m of 650 gpt Ag incl. 12m of 531 gpt Ag AND 22m of 318 gpt Ag 11m of 481 gpt Ag incl. 1.35m of 920 gpt Ag 16.5m of 620 gpt Ag incl. 5.5m of 1,775 gpt Ag ncl. 0.55m of 7,630 gpt A


F Vein Long Section





Composite F Vein Long Section Looking Northeast Silver Gram-meters (Ag grade x true width of intercept)

TSX.V: KTN I OTC: KOOYF I CORPORATE PRESENTATION I AUGUST 2025





Secondary Catalysts Resource Properties


LA CIGARRA - PARRAL SILVER DISTRICT



HIGHLIGHTS

- La Cigarra silver project is located in the renowned Parral Mining district in Chihuahua State, Mexico
- Significant land package (over 18,000 hectares)
- Resource open in all directions
- Multiple drill targets.
- 2024 geologic model resulted in resource grade increase from 85 gpt to 102 gpt Ag.

| La Cigarra (2024)* | Tonnage | Grade | Contained |
|----------------------------|----------|------------|--------------|
| Resources • M&I • Inferred | 15.73 Mt | 102 gpt Ag | 51.57 Moz Ag |
| | 3.37 Mt | 102 gpt Ag | 11.0 Moz Ag |



This map shows historic production from the district to show the geologic potential of the area and the project. However, there is no assurance that La Cigarra will host any reserves or produce any silver.

^{*} NI 43-101 Technical Report on the Updated Mineral Resource Estimate on the La Cigarra Silver Project, Chihuahua, Mexico", effective date November 29, 2023, and was estimated by Allan Armitage, Ph.D., P. Geo. of SGS Geological Services.

HIGHLIGHTS

Inferred


- Situated on Promontorio Mineral Belt Property. Hosts two major silver discoveries: Promontorio & La Negra
- Numerous additional targets within a 6.5km x 15km area
- La Negra initial resource estimate filed in October 2023

1.2 Mt

114.8 gpt

112.2

0.060



4.6 Moz

2 kOz

4.5 Moz

^{* &}quot;NI 43-101 Technical Report on Resources, Promontorio, Mexico", Report by Moose Mountain Technical Services. Effective date August 27, 2023. Calculated a pit-constrained cut-off of 25 gpt AgEq using a \$22/oz silver price. AgEq calculated using \$22/oz Ag, \$1,800/oz Au, \$0.95/lb Pb, \$1.25/lb Zn and mill recovery of 74%, 70%, 81% and 88% respectively. Full resource table found in the appendix section of this presentation. Silver equivalent values are calculated using the above noted recoveries and prices for all metals.

^{** &}quot;NI 43-101 Technical Report on Resources, La Negra, Mexico", Report by Moose Mountain Technical Services. Effective date August 27, 2023. Calculated a pit-constrained cut-off of 40 gpt AgEq using a \$22/oz silver price. AgEq calculated using \$22/oz Ag, \$1,800/oz Au, \$0.95/lb Pb, \$1.25/lb Zn. Metallurgical recovery of 82% Ag and 77% Au in the oxide zone, 80%, 85% Ag and 73% Au in the mixed zone, and 90% Ag and 31% Au in the sulfide zone. Full resource table and individual metal grades found in the appendix section of this presentation. Silver equivalent values are calculated using the above noted recoveries and prices for all metals as detailed in the footnotes of the appendix.

INVESTING IN...



- ONE OF THE LARGEST JUNIOR OWNED SILVER ASSET BASES IN MEXICO
- CONTINUATION OF PHASED DRILL PROGRAM AT COLUMBA
- EXPLOSIVE GROWTH POTENTIAL WITH LARGE FUNDED DRILL PROGRAM AT COLUMBA
- POTENTIAL FOR VALUE RE-RATING
- STRONG MANAGEMENT WITH TRACK RECORD OF SUCCESS

Quality Silver Assets Are Scarce... We Have Several

TSX.V: KTN I OTC: KOOYF I CORPORATE PRESENTATION I AUGUST 2025

CONTACT US





595 Howe Street, Suite 1125 Vancouver, BC, V6C 2T5, Canada

Tel: (604) 601-5650 Fax: (604) 683-2249 Toll free: (888) 601-5650

E-Mail: <u>investor@kootenaysilver.com</u>

Website: www.kootenaysilver.com

X: https://x.com/KootenaySilver



APPENDIX



OUTSTANDING WARRANTS & OPTIONS



Summary of Warrants outstanding

| Number of Shares | Exerci | se Price | Expiry Date |
|------------------|--------|----------|-------------|
| | | | |
| 4,999,995 | \$ | 1.35 | 08-Nov-25 |
| 304,387 | \$ | 0.90 | 08-Nov-25 |
| 2,480,391 | \$ | 1.10 | 16-Feb-26 |
| 138,106 | \$ | 0.75 | 16-Feb-26 |
| 4,620,535 | \$ | 1.68 | 25-Apr-26 |
| 527,678 | \$ | 1.12 | 25-Apr-26 |
| 3,772,500 | \$ | 1.40 | 24-May-26 |
| 196,350 | \$ | 1.00 | 24-May-26 |
| 9,528,900 | \$ | 1.58 | 27-Jun-28 |
| 1,114,897 | \$ | 1.05 | 27-Jun-28 |
| 27,683,739 | \$ | 1.44 W | eighted Avg |


Summary of Options outstanding

| Number of Shares | lumber of Shares Exercise Price | | |
|------------------|---------------------------------|------|--------------|
| 65,000 | \$ | 2.70 | 06-Jul-26 |
| 2,095,000 | \$ | 1.59 | 13-Jan-28 |
| 1,000,000 | \$ | 0.90 | 07-Jan-29 |
| 3,160,000 | \$ | 1.39 | Weighted Avg |

Summary of DSU & RSU outstanding

| Number of Shares | |
|------------------|---|
| 590,000 | |
| 590,000 | _ |

TSX.V: KTN I OTC: KOOYF I CORPORATE PRESENTATION I AUGUST 2025





COLUMBA CLAIM BOUNDARIES



Kootenay Silver Inc. Columba Silver Project Mining Concessions


Date: January 31, 2023

Author: Quinn Harper, P. Geo.


Office: Vancouver, BC Projection: WGS84, Zn 13N














SELECTED DRILLING HIGHLIGHTS

| Hole ID | From (meters) | To (meters) | Interval (m) | Silver gpt | Pb % | Zn% |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------|------|
| F VEIN | | | | | | |
| CDH-19-041 | 42.9 | 50.3 | 7.45 | 650 | 0.23 | 0.26 |
| Includes | 42.9 | 44.0 | 1.15 | 919 | 0.36 | 0.09 |
| Includes | 44.0 | 45.0 | 1.00 | 953 | 0.34 | 0.37 |
| CDH-19-042 | 71.0 | 77.8 | 6.80 | 264 | 0.06 | 0.13 |
| includes | 71.8 | 72.4 | 0.60 | 1,585 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| CDH-20-047 | 114.0 | 120.0 | 5.97 | 351 | 0.40 | 1.03 |
| Includes | 115.7 | 116.8 | 1.05 | 78 2 | 1.13 | 3.60 |
| CDH-20-049 | 124.0 | 126.8 | 2.80 | 7 62 | 0.42 | 0.54 |
| Includes | 125.8 | 126.8 | 1.00 | 2,010 | 1.18 | 1.24 |
| CDH-20-051 | 147.0 | 153.0 | 6.00 | 317 | 0.12 | 0.17 |
| Includes | 149.0 | 151.0 | 2.00 | 865 | 0.37 | 0.42 |
| AND | 207.0 | 211.4 | 4.36 | 317 | 0.27 | 0.93 |
| Includes | 210.3 | 211.4 | 1.10 | 7 69 | 0.75 | 2.88 |
| CDH-21-089 | 140.1 | 147.0 | 6.90 | 285 | 0.16 | 0.76 |
| Includes | 140.1 | 140.7 | 0.57 | 533 | 0.27 | 1.51 |
| CDH-21-094 | 175.0 | 184.0 | 9.00 | 354 | 0.11 | 0.36 |
| Includes | 176.9 | 178.4 | 1.50 | 985 | 0.47 | 0.50 |
| CDH-22-121 | 248.6 | 250.2 | 1.63 | 2,330 | 0.06 | 0.80 |
| | | | | | | |
| J VEIN CDH-19-030 | 150.5 | 161.7 | 11.15 | 415 | 0.07 | 0.26 |
| Includes | 156.2 | 151.7 | 1.13 | 982 | 0.08 | 0.40 |
| CDH-20-060 | 147.0 | 156.0 | 9.00 | 226 | 0.03 | 0.40 |
| Includes | 152.0 | 153.0 | 1.00 | 1,025 | 0.03 | 0.13 |
| AND | 190.0 | 201.0 | 11.00 | 361 | 0.08 | 0.21 |
| Includes | 193.0 | 194.0 | 1.00 | 1,160 | 0.08 | 0.18 |
| CDH-21-103 | 166.0 | 210.0 | 44.00 | 333 | 0.10 | 0.13 |
| Includes | 188.0 | 194.0 | 6.00 | 2,035 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| sub-interval | 192.2 | 194.0 | 0.92 | 9,840 | 2.59 | 0.19 |
| Sup-IIILEI Val | 192.2 | 155.1 | 0.32 | 7,040 | 2,39 | 0.08 |
| EAST BLOCK | | | | | | |
| CDH-21-101 | 208.5 | 210.1 | 1.60 | 459 | 0.23 | 4.00 |
| Includes | 208.5 | 209.0 | 0.50 | 1,190 | 0.59 | 3.93 |



| Hole ID | From (meters) | To (meters) | Interval (m) | Silver gpt | Pb % | Zn% |
|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------|------|
| B VEIN | | | | | | |
| CDH-20-082 | 183.0 | 192.0 | 9.00 | 691 | 0.11 | 0.46 |
| Includes | 184.5 | 186.1 | 1.55 | 1,455 | 0.13 | 0.34 |
| Includes | 186.1 | 187.6 | 1.50 | 1,055 | 0.38 | 0.88 |
| Includes | 187.6 | 189.1 | 1.55 | 1,045 | 0.09 | 0.38 |
| CDH-21-112 | 211.0 | 218.1 | 7.05 | 667 | 0.25 | 0.26 |
| Includes | 212.0 | 214.0 | 2.00 | 1,050 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Includes | 214.0 | 215.0 | 1.00 | 781 | 0.10 | 0.10 |

| D VEIN | | | _ | | | |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|------|-------|
| CDH-20-079 | 151.0 | 156.3 | 5.35 | 290 | 0.08 | 0.22 |
| Includes | 153.6 | 154.3 | 0.74 | 689 | 0.23 | 0.52 |
| CDH-21-108 | 213.0 | 224.0 | 11.00 | 328 | 0.12 | 0.50 |
| Includes | 218.1 | 224.0 | 5.90 | 504 | 0.16 | 0.75 |
| sub-interval | 220.0 | 221.0 | 1.00 | 1,100 | 0.54 | 2.04 |
| CDH-21-110 | 176.1 | 206.0 | 29.90 | 453 | 0.60 | 1.43 |
| Includes | 181.2 | 182.0 | 0.83 | 1,915 | 0.45 | 3.51 |
| Includes | 182.0 | 184.5 | 2.50 | 641 | 0.51 | 0.59 |
| Includes | 191.0 | 192.0 | 1.00 | 1,565 | 3.06 | 8.86 |
| Includes | 192.0 | 193.0 | 1.00 | 1,360 | 5.43 | 8.96 |
| Includes | 201.3 | 201.9 | 1.00 | 1,765 | 1.22 | 1.50 |
| CDH-21-115 | 81.1 | 92.4 | 11.30 | 449 | 0.30 | 0.66 |
| Includes | 90.0 | 91.0 | 1.00 | 79 5 | 0.95 | 1.47 |
| CDH-22-119 | 244.5 | 252.2 | 7.74 | 416 | 0.32 | 1.30 |
| Includes | 247.5 | 249.0 | 1.50 | 1,395 | 0.94 | 5.30 |
| AND | 264.2 | 268.9 | 4.66 | 604 | 0.34 | 1.20 |
| CDH-22-125 | 269.6 | 304.0 | 34.45 | 540 | 0.37 | 1.56 |
| Includes | 283.1 | 289.7 | 6.60 | 2,498 | 1.59 | 7.47 |
| sub-interval | 286.0 | 288.5 | 2.45 | 5,840 | 3.08 | 17.25 |
| CDH-22-126 | 238.0 | 244.0 | 6.03 | 234 | 0.15 | 0.31 |
| Includes | 243.6 | 244.0 | 0.43 | 915 | 0.35 | 0.54 |
| CDH-22-128 | 245.0 | 265.0 | 20.00 | 136 | 0.09 | 0.22 |
| Includes | 249.0 | 251.0 | 2.00 | 520 | 0.03 | 0.07 |


D VEIN


High grade hits flanked by mineralized stockwork and breccia, great continuity both to depth and along strike


- D Vein has been drilled for 435 meters to a vertical depth of 300 meters
- Undrilled trace of D Vein adds 800m for a potential strike length of over 1,200 meters.
- High grade is flanked by broad zones of stockwork veining resulting in wide blocks of mineralization




High grade core from hole CDH-20-110













F VEIN

Extensive vein with wide mineralized intervals, great continuity along trend

- Kootenay Silver has drilled F Vein has been over a distance of 770 meters and to a vertical depth of 275 meters
- Sampling from u/g workings and drilling assays suggest classic epithermal zoning typical of similar mineral systems worldwide
- Historical underground mining focused on F Vein, the main working saw six levels developed

LA CIGARRA RESOURCE



La Cigarra Deposit Mineral Resource Estimate at a Base Case Cut-off Grade of 50 g/t AgEq*

| | | | | Grade | | | | | Total Meta | ıI | |
|-------------------|----------------|----------|----------|--------|--------|------------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| Resource Class | Tonnes (MT) | Ag (g/t) | Au (g/t) | Pb (%) | Zn (%) | AgEq (g/t) | Ag (Moz) | Au (koz) | Pb (Mlbs) | Zn (Mlbs) | ¹AgEq (Moz) |
| Measured | 2.08 | 103 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.22 | 121 | 6.90 | 4.30 | 7.60 | 9.90 | 8.10 |
| Indicated | 13.65 | 102 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 120 | 44.66 | 29.60 | 47.3 | 63.6 | 52.46 |
| Meas + Ind | 15.73 | 102 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 120 | 51.57 | 33.90 | 54.8 | 73.5 | 60.56 |
| Inferred | 3.37 | 102 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 119 | 11.00 | 6.00 | 14.8 | 13.8 | 12.85 |

The base-case AgEq Cut-off grade of 50 g/t AgEq considers metal prices of \$23.50/oz Ag, \$1,800/oz Au, \$1.00/lb Pb and \$1.30/lb Zn, and considers variable metal recoveries for Ag, Au, Pb and Zn: for oxide mineralization - 85% for Ag, 40% for Au, 75% for Pb and 65% for Zn; for sulphide mineralization - 92% for Ag, 40% for Au, 91% for Pb and 85% for Zn.

¹AgEq = Ag ppm + (((Au ppm x Au price/gram) + (Pb% x Pb price/t) + (Zn% x Zn price/t))/Ag price/gram). Metal price assumptions are \$23.50/oz silver, \$1,800/oz gold, \$1.00/lb lead and \$1.30/lb zinc.

^{*}See next slide for full resource estimate notes

La Cigarra Mineral Resource Estimate Notes:




- 1.The Mineral Resource Estimate was estimated by Allan Armitage, Ph.D., P. Geo. of SGS Geological Services and is an independent Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101. Dr Armitage conducted a recent site visit to the La Cigarra Property on November 28 and 29, 2023.
- 2. The classification of the current Mineral Resource Estimate into Measured, Indicated and Inferred mineral resources is consistent with current 2014 CIM Definition Standards For Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves. The effective date for the Updated Mineral Resource Estimate is November 29, 2023.
- 3.All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate and numbers may not add due to rounding.
- 4. The mineral resource is presented undiluted and in situ, constrained by continuous 3D wireframe models, and are considered to have reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction.
- 5. Mineral resources which are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. An Inferred Mineral Resource has a lower level of confidence than that applying to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that most Inferred Mineral Resources could be upgraded to Indicated Mineral Resources with continued exploration.
- 6. The La Cigarra mineral resource estimate is based on a validated database which includes data 201 surface diamond and RC drill holes totalling 36,988 m. The resource database totals 26,419 assay intervals representing 34,447 m of drilling. The average assay sample length is 1.30 m.
- 7.The mineral resource estimate is based on 9 three-dimensional ("3D") resource models, constructed in Leapfrog. Grades for Ag, Au, Pb and Zn were estimated for each mineralization domain using 1.5 metre capped composites assigned to that domain. To generate grade within the blocks, the inverse distance squared (ID²) interpolation method was used for all domains. Each domain was then subdivided into oxide and sulphide domains.
- 8. Average density values were assigned to oxide and sulphide domains and a waste domain based on based on a database of 1,412 samples.
- 9.It is envisioned that the La Cigarra deposit may be mined using open-pit mining methods. Mineral resources are reported at a base case cut-off grade of 50 g/t AgEq. The inpit Mineral Resource grade blocks are quantified above the base case cut-off grade, above the constraining pit shell, below topography and within the constraining mineralized domains (the constraining volumes).
- 10. The results from the pit optimization are used solely for the purpose of testing the "reasonable prospects for economic extraction" by an open pit and do not represent an attempt to estimate mineral reserves. There are no mineral reserves on the Property. The results are used as a guide to assist in the preparation of a Mineral Resource statement and to select an appropriate resource reporting cut-off grade.
- 11.The base-case AgEq Cut-off grade considers metal prices of \$23.50/oz Ag, \$1,800/oz Au, \$1.00/lb Pb and \$1.30/lb Zn, and considers variable metal recoveries for Ag, Au, Pb and Zn: for oxide mineralization 85% for Ag, 40% for Au, 75% for Pb and 65% for Zn; for sulphide mineralization 92% for Ag, 40% for Au, 91% for Pb and 85% for Zn.
- 12. The pit optimization and base case cut-off grade of 50 g/t AgEq considers a mining cost of US\$2.50/t mined, and processing, treatment, refining, G&A and transportation cost of USD\$22.40/t of mineralized material.
- 13. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.

LA CIGARRA – SAN GREGORIO ZONE



Cross Section below shows new domains in colours overlain by HG Northair Model (in grey). Colours (new model) display more constrained volumes.





*2023 Total Promontorio-La Negra Project Resource Estimate

| | | In Situ Tonnage, Grades and Metal Content | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|---|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| <u>Pit</u> | <u>Class</u> | <u>Tonnage</u> <u>(kt)</u> | AgEq (g/t) | <u>Ag</u> (g/t) | <u>Au</u> (g/t) | <u>Pb (%)</u> | <u>Zn (%)</u> | <u>AgEq</u> <u>Metal</u> (kOz) | AG Metal (kOz) | Au Metal (kOz) | Pb (klb) | Zn (klb) |
| | <u>Measured</u> | <u>12,451</u> | <u>111.7</u> | <u>37.0</u> | <u>0.456</u> | <u>0.53</u> | <u>0.61</u> | 44,718 | <u>14,823</u> | <u>183</u> | <u>146,033</u> | <u>166,620</u> |
| Durantania | <u>Indicated</u> | 29,664 | 100.7 | <u>33.5</u> | 0.412 | 0.47 | <u>0.55</u> | 96,072 | 31,950 | <u>393</u> | 306,716 | 360,996 |
| <u>Promontorio</u> | <u>Meas+Ind</u> | <u>42,115</u> | <u>104.0</u> | <u>34.5</u> | 0.425 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 140,790 | <u>46,773</u> | <u>575</u> | <u>452,748</u> | <u>527,616</u> |
| | <u>Inferred</u> | <u>14,575</u> | <u>84.9</u> | <u>27.9</u> | 0.348 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 39,782 | 13,069 | <u>163</u> | 136,241 | 143,632 |
| La Navia | <u>Indicated</u> | <u>5,285</u> | <u>129.3</u> | <u>126.3</u> | 0.067 | _ | - | 21,966 | <u>21,454</u> | <u>11</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> |
| <u>La Negra</u> | <u>Inferred</u> | <u>1,257</u> | <u>114.8</u> | <u>112.2</u> | 0.060 | _ | - | <u>4,639</u> | <u>4,536</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>0</u> |
| | <u>Measured</u> | <u>12,451</u> | <u>111.7</u> | <u>37.0</u> | 0.456 | 0.53 | 0.61 | 44,718 | <u>14,823</u> | <u>183</u> | 146,033 | <u>166,620</u> |
| Total | <u>Indicated</u> | 34,949 | <u>105.0</u> | <u>47.5</u> | 0.360 | 0.40 | 0.47 | 118,038 | <u>53,404</u> | <u>404</u> | 306,716 | 360,996 |
| <u>Total</u> | <u>Meas+Ind</u> | <u>47,400</u> | <u>106.8</u> | <u>44.8</u> | 0.385 | 0.43 | 0.50 | <u>162,755</u> | 68,227 | <u>587</u> | <u>452,748</u> | <u>527,616</u> |
| | <u>Inferred</u> | <u>15,832</u> | <u>87.3</u> | <u>34.6</u> | 0.325 | <u>0.81</u> | 0.89 | 44,421 | <u>17,606</u> | <u>165</u> | 282,274 | 310,251 |

^{*}See slides 35 and 36 footnotes for Promontorio and La Negra calculations (silver eq.), respectively.



2023 Resource Statement for the Promontorio Deposit

| | Cutoff | | | | | In situ To | nnage, Grad | de and Metal Co | ntent | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Class | AgEq (g/t) | Tonnage (kt) | AgEq (g/t) | Ag (g/t) | Au (g/t) | Pb (%) | Zn (%) | AgEq Metal (kOz) | AG Metal (kOz) | Au Metal (kOz) | Pb (klb) | Zn (klb) |
| | 15 | 13,538 | 104.3 | 34.5 | 0.428 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 45,419 | 15,012 | 186 | 147,440 | 168,631 |
| | 20 | 13,011 | 107.9 | 35.7 | 0.441 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 45,122 | 14,934 | 184 | 146,864 | 167,803 |
| Managemad | 25 | 12,451 | 111.7 | 37.0 | 0.456 | 0.53 | 0.61 | 44,718 | 14,823 | 183 | 146,033 | 166,620 |
| Measured | 30 | 11,903 | 115.6 | 38.4 | 0.470 | 0.55 | 0.63 | 44,233 | 14,691 | 180 | 144,854 | 164,797 |
| | 40 | 10,793 | 123.9 | 41.3 | 0.500 | 0.59 | 0.68 | 42,984 | 14,324 | 174 | 141,339 | 160,851 |
| | 50 | 9,710 | 132.7 | 44.4 | 0.532 | 0.64 | 0.73 | 41,423 | 13,848 | 166 | 136,790 | 155,200 |
| | 15 | 32,225 | 94.3 | 31.3 | 0.387 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 97,728 | 32,439 | 401 | 311,172 | 366,586 |
| | 20 | 30,993 | 97.4 | 32.4 | 0.399 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 97,033 | 32,235 | 398 | 309,525 | 364,187 |
| lu dinaka d | 25 | 29,664 | 100.7 | 33.5 | 0.412 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 96,072 | 31,950 | 393 | 306,716 | 360,996 |
| Indicated | 30 | 28,179 | 104.6 | 34.8 | 0.426 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 94,756 | 31,564 | 386 | 302,544 | 355,970 |
| | 40 | 24,961 | 113.6 | 37.9 | 0.461 | 0.53 | 0.62 | 91,133 | 30,447 | 370 | 291,656 | 342,834 |
| | 50 | 21,907 | 123.1 | 41.3 | 0.497 | 0.58 | 0.68 | 86,721 | 29,089 | 350 | 278,188 | 326,002 |
| | 15 | 45,763 | 97.3 | 32.3 | 0.399 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 143,147 | 47,451 | 587 | 458,612 | 535,217 |
| | 20 | 44,004 | 100.5 | 33.3 | 0.411 | 0.47 | 0.55 | 142,155 | 47,169 | 582 | 456,389 | 531,990 |
| Management Indiantal | 25 | 42,115 | 104.0 | 34.5 | 0.425 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 140,790 | 46,773 | 575 | 452,748 | 527,616 |
| Measured + Indicated | 30 | 40,082 | 107.9 | 35.9 | 0.439 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 138,989 | 46,256 | 566 | 447,397 | 520,768 |
| | 40 | 35,754 | 116.7 | 38.9 | 0.473 | 0.55 | 0.64 | 134,117 | 44,772 | 543 | 432,996 | 503,684 |
| | 50 | 31,617 | 126.1 | 42.2 | 0.508 | 0.60 | 0.69 | 128,144 | 42,937 | 516 | 414,978 | 481,202 |
| | 15 | 16,637 | 76.8 | 25.1 | 0.319 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 41,072 | 13,415 | 171 | 139,011 | 147,447 |
| | 20 | 15,433 | 81.4 | 26.7 | 0.335 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 40,401 | 13,238 | 166 | 137,797 | 145,622 |
| lucka mua al | 25 | 14,575 | 84.9 | 27.9 | 0.348 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 39,782 | 13,069 | 163 | 136,241 | 143,632 |
| Inferred | 30 | 13,671 | 88.7 | 29.2 | 0.362 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 38,980 | 12,830 | 159 | 133,819 | 141,052 |
| | 40 | 11,778 | 97.3 | 32.1 | 0.395 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 36,847 | 12,152 | 150 | 127,493 | 133,206 |
| | 50 | 9,980 | 106.8 | 35.3 | 0.432 | 0.54 | 0.56 | 34,256 | 11,327 | 139 | 119,031 | 123,652 |

Notes to the 2023 Promontorio Resource Table:

- 1. Resources are reported using the 2014 CIM Definition Standards and were estimated using the 2019 CIM Best Practices Guidelines, as required by NI43-101
- 2. The base case Mineral Resource has been confined by "reasonable prospects of eventual economic extraction" shape using the following assumptions:
 - Metal prices of US\$22/oz Silver, US\$1800/oz Gold, US\$0.95/lb Lead and US\$1.25/lb Zinc. Metallurgical recovery of 74% Silver, 70% Gold, 81% Lead and 88% Zinc
 - Payable metal of 95% Silver, 99% Gold in dore 95% Au in Pb concentrate, 95% Lead and 85% Zinc. Lead payable assumes a concentrate grade of 65% Pb and a 3% unit deduction. Zinc payable assumes a concentrate grade of 52% Pb and an 8% unit deduction. Offsite costs (transport, smelter treatment and refining) of US\$1.5/oz Silver and gold in the Pb concentrate, US\$10 oz Gold, US\$ 0.15/lb Lead and US\$0.31/ lb Zinc. Lead offsite costs assume 100 \$US/dmt transport, 100 \$US/dmt treatment. Zinc offsite costs assume 100 \$US/dmt treatment.
- Processing, General, and Administrative ("G&A") costs of US\$ 12/ tonne milled. Mining cost of US\$2.00 / tonne
- 50 degree pit slopes with the 150% price case pit shell is used for the confining shape
- 3. The resulting NSR = Ag^*US0.63/g^*74\% + Au^*US$56.71/g^*70\% + 22.0462^*(Pb^*US$0.77/lb^*81\% + Zn^*US$0.80/lb^*88\%)$
- 4. The specific gravity of the resource averages 2.79 and is calculated from the Lead and Zinc content. Non-mineralized material is assigned an SG of 2.73.
- Numbers may not add due to rounding.



2023 Resource Statement for the La Negra Deposit. See slide 36 for silver equivalent calculation

| | | Cutoff | | In Situ Grades and Metal Content | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| ZONE | CLASS | AgEq (g/t) | Tonnage (kt) | AgEq (g/t) | Ag (g/t) | Au (g/t) | AgEq Metal (kOz) | Ag Metal (kOz) | Au Metal (kOz) | | |
| | | 25 | 7,282 | 102.5 | 99.8 | 0.061 | 24,000 | 23,370 | 14.2 | | |
| | | 30 | 6,463 | 112.0 | 109.2 | 0.063 | 23,280 | 22,690 | 13.2 | | |
| | Indicated | 35 | 5,821 | 120.8 | 117.9 | 0.065 | 22,610 | 22,060 | 12.2 | | |
| | indicated | 40 | 5,285 | 129.3 | 126.3 | 0.067 | 21,970 | 21,450 | 11.4 | | |
| | | 45 | 4,821 | 137.6 | 134.5 | 0.069 | 21,330 | 20,850 | 10.7 | | |
| Total | | 50 | 4,425 | 145.7 | 142.5 | 0.071 | 20,730 | 20,280 | 10.0 | | |
| lotai | | 25 | 1,831 | 88.8 | 86.5 | 0.055 | 5,230 | 5,090 | 3.2 | | |
| | | 30 | 1,607 | 97.3 | 94.9 | 0.057 | 5,030 | 4,900 | 3.0 | | |
| | Inferred | 35 | 1,415 | 106.1 | 103.7 | 0.059 | 4,830 | 4,720 | 2.7 | | |
| | merred | 40 | 1,257 | 114.8 | 112.2 | 0.060 | 4,640 | 4,540 | 2.4 | | |
| | | 45 | 1,111 | 124.2 | 121.6 | 0.061 | 4,440 | 4,340 | 2.2 | | |
| | | 50 | 993 | 133.5 | 130.8 | 0.061 | 4,260 | 4,180 | 2.0 | | |

Notes to the 2023 La Negra Resource Tables:

- Resources are reported using the 2014 CIM Definition Standards and were estimated using the 2019 CIM Best Practices Guidelines, as required by NI43-101
- 2. The base case Mineral Resource has been confined by "reasonable prospects of eventual economic extraction" shape using the following assumptions:
 - Metal prices of US\$22/oz Silver, US\$1800/oz Gold
 - Recovery is assumed to be as for dore. Metallurgical recovery of 82% Silver and 77% Gold in the Oxide zone, 85% Silver and 73% Gold in the Mixed zone, and 90% Silver and 31% Gold in the Sulfide zone.
 - Payable metal of 99% for Silver and Gold. Offsite costs (transport, smelter treatment and refining) of US\$0.25/oz Silver and US\$10/oz gold.
 - Processing, General, and Administrative (G&A) costs of US\$ 12/ tonne milled. Mining cost of US\$2.00/tonne
 - 50 degree pit slopes with the 150% price case pit shell is used for the confining shape
- The resulting NSR = Ag*US\$0.69/g*Zone Ag Recovery% 0.82 + Au*US\$56.97/g*Zone Au Recovery 0.77%
- 4. Silver Equivalent (AgEq) = NSR / (US\$0.69/g* Ag Recovery% 0.82)
- The specific gravity is assigned by rock type as 2.52 in Oxides, 2.59 in Mixes and 2.61 in Sulfides
- 6. Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Promontorio Silver Equivalent Calculation



Promontorio:

| | 2013 | | | | | | | | 2023 | | | | | |
|-------|----------------|----------|------------------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------|--|--|--|
| METAL | Price (USD) | Recovery | Equivalency with Recovery | | Equivalency | AGEQV FACTOR | Price (USD) | Recovery | Equivalency with Recovery | AGEQV FACTOR | DIFFERENCE | | | |
| AG | 31 | 74 | 0.738 | 0.997 | 0.997 | | 22 | 74 | 0.523 | | | | | |
| AU | 1650 | 70 | 37.134 | 53.049 | 53.049 | 50.35 | 1800 | 70 | 40.510 | 77.40 | 1.54 | | | |
| PB | 0.96 | 81 | 17.143 | 21.164 | 21.164 | 23.24 | 0.95 | 81 | 16.965 | 32.41 | 1.39 | | | |
| ZN | 0.89 | 88 | 17.267 | 19.621 | 19.621 | 23.41 | 1.25 | 88 | 24.251 | 46.33 | 1.98 | | | |

Promontorio:

with recovery included:

$$AgEq = Ag + (Pb)*(21.164/0.997)) + (Zn)*(19.621/0.997)) + (Au)*(53.049/0.997)$$

what was done in 2013:

2013:00:0

$$0AgEq = Ag + (Pb)*(21.164)) + (Zn)*(19.621) + (Au)*(53.209)$$

2023:00:0

$$OAgEq = Ag + (Pb)*(21.164)) + (Zn)*(19.621) + (Au)*(53.209)$$

Promontorio-La Negra Ag Equivalent Calculation



| Promontorio | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|---------|----------|--------------|--------|
| Metal | NSP | Units | Recovery | Value (\$/g) | Factor |
| Ag¹ | 0.63 | US\$/g | 74% | 0.4662 | 1.00 |
| Au ² | 56.71 | US\$/g | 70% | 39.6970 | 85.15 |
| Pb ³ | 0.77 | US\$/lb | 81% | 13.7502 | 29.49 |
| Zn ⁴ | 0.8 | US\$/lb | 88% | 15.5205 | 33.29 |

AgEqv=

AG + AU*85.15 + PB*29.49+ ZN*33.29

¹US\$22/oz silver ²US\$1800/oz gold 3US\$0.96/lb lead 4US\$0.89/lb zinc

| La Negra | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------|--------|----------|--------------|--------|
| Metal | NSP | Units | Recovery | Value (\$/g) | Factor |
| Ag¹ Au² | 0.69 | US\$/g | 82% | 0.5658 | 1.00 |
| Au ² | 56.97 | US\$/g | 77% | 43.866 | 77.52 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

AgEqv=

AGgpt + AUgpt*77.52

¹US\$22/oz silver ²US\$1800/oz gold